**Open Access Article** 

# The Integration of Manure and Potassium Applications to Improve the Yield and Quality of Sweet Potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L)

## M.W. Lestari <sup>1,\*</sup>, N. Arfarita <sup>1</sup>, F.C. Indriani <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, University of Islam Malang, Malang, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Indonesian Legumes and Tuber Crops Research Institute, Malang, Indonesia

**Abstract:** The combination of organic and inorganic fertilizers for increasing the yield of sweet potato is better than providing a singular fertilizer. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the composition of KCl fertilizer dosage in various types of manure to increase the yield and quality of sweet potatoes. Meanwhile, a factorial randomized block design was used, and the first treatment was the KCl fertilizer dosage consisting of 50, 100, and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Furthermore, the second factor was the various types of manure, including goat, buffalo, cow, chicken, and control. All the treatment combinations were replicated three times, and each consisted of five samples. Meanwhile, the result evaluation was conducted on the fresh tuber weight, number, and harvest index. Also, an evaluation of the quality was conducted on carbohydrate content and total dissolved solids. The results showed that manure had more effect on all the outcome variables than KCl fertilization. Moreover, the interaction between KCl fertilizer and manure only affected carbohydrate content, while total dissolved solids were not affected.

Keywords: carbohydrate, inorganic fertilizers, integration, manure, total solid soluble.

## 肥料和钾肥的整合提高甘薯的产量和品质(番薯)

**摘要**:有机和无机肥料的组合比单用肥料要好,以提高甘薯的产量。因此,本研究旨在 确定各种肥料中的氯化钾肥料剂量组成,以提高甘薯的产量和品质。同时,使用析因随机区 组设计,第一个处理是由50、100和150 kg ha-1组成的氯化钾施肥量。此外,第二个因素是各种肥料,包括山羊,水牛,牛,鸡和对照。将 所有处理组合重复三遍,每组由五个样品组成。同时,对新鲜块茎的重量,数量和收获指数 进行了结果评估。另外,对碳水化合物含量和总溶解固体进行了质量评估。结果表明,施肥 对所有结果变量的影响均大于氯化钾施肥。此外,氯化钾肥料和肥料之间的相互作用仅影响 碳水化合物的含量,而总溶解固体则不受影响。

关键词:碳水化合物,无机肥料,肥料,肥料,总固溶性。

## 1. Introduction

The purpose of the introduction is to explain to sweet potato is one of the important tubers in tropical and sub-tropical countries such as China, India, Japan, Indonesia, Philippines, Thailand, etc. Among the tubers, sweet potato is ranked second place after cassava [1, 2]. This tuber uses a large number of nutrients. Therefore the addition of organic fertilizer is recommended to maintain soil productivity. Therefore, manure's application significantly impacts growth and yield [3]. Cows or green manures are commonly used as organic fertilizers for sweet potatoes [4]. Meanwhile, tubers grown in soils with low organic content need to be supported with the fertilizer of 5 to 10 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> to increase their yield [5]. In Indonesia, there are diverse types of manures used as fertilizers in the cultivation of sweet potatoes.

Received: 27 October 2020 / Revised: 23 November 2020 / Accepted: 26 December 2020 / Published: 29 January 2021 About the authors: M.W. Lestari, N. Arfarita, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Islam Malang, Malang, Indonesia; F.C. Indriani, Indonesian Legumes and Tuber Crops Research Institute, Malang, Indonesia

Corresponding author M.W. Lestari, <u>mwlestari@unisma.ac.id</u>

The concept of 'integrated nutrition management' utilizing all available organic and inorganic resources has become the dominant paradigm for increasing yield in small-scale farming systems [6]. Jian-wei et al. [7] showed that fertilization of potassium sulfate  $(K_2SO_4)$ or its chloride (KCl) improved the yield and quality of sweet potato. Therefore, K helps to facilitate translocation from the source (leaf) to the storage organ (sink) [8]. Meanwhile, manure plays a role in improving soil health [5]. The results showed that combining organic and inorganic fertilizers to increase sweet potato yield was better than giving a singular type [9, 10, 11]. Several studies on integrating KCl fertilizer and manure have been conducted. However, there is no integration between those compositions. Finally, this study's results are expected to determine the best type of manure to reduce the need for KCl fertilizer. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the composition of KCl dosage in various types of manure.

#### **2.** Materials and Methods

The field trial was conducted at the Farm of Indonesian Legumes and Tuber Crops Research Institute, Malang, from April-September 2019. The experimental conditions include 750 m above sea level, an average temperature of 28°C, rainfall of 1928 mm year<sup>-1</sup>, and soil type ranging from Entisol to Oxisol, maintained at about 15-22°C [12].

The planting material was the shoot cuttings of Sukuh potato. They were planted in a tub with a size of 1 x 5 m and a distance of 100 x 25 cm. Meanwhile, the manure, KCl, and basic fertilizer Super Phosphate-36 (SP-36) were given at the same time as making tubs, while Urea fertilizers were given one week and one month after planting. Also, the SP-36 and Urea fertilizer doses were 105 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the KCl was adjusted to the treatment. Lastly, the potatoes were harvested when they became mature at about 170 days; each potato's average weight was 200 to 250 g. All of the samples were collected as fresh as possible and processed at the Agrotechnology laboratory, Agriculture Faculty for analysis. Samples were gently washed with tap water immediately after collection to remove sand and other extraneous material before being washed with distilled water, and then air washed.

NS

The samples were then cut into small pieces, placed in a self-sealing bag and kept in a desiccator to prevent moisture gain or loss. They were then ready to determine their carbohydrate and their total soluble solids.

The result evaluation was conducted on the fresh weight of marketable and unmarketable tuber [13], total weight, the number of the marketable and unmarketable tuber, the total number, and harvest index. Meanwhile, the quality evaluation was conducted on the carbohydrate content (using a spectrophotometer) and total dissolved solids using a hand-refractometer [14].

Harvest index was measured using the formula:

$$Harvest index(\%) = \frac{fresh \text{ weight of tuber per plot}}{\text{total fresh weight of the plant per plot}} \times 100\%$$

The data analysis used was the Factorial Random Block Design. The first factor was the type of manure consisting of goats, buffaloes, cows, and chickens, each of 20 tons ha<sup>-1</sup> and control (not given manure). Meanwhile, the second factor was the dose of KCl, consisting of 50, 100, and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. All the treatment combinations were replicated three times, and each consisted of 5 samples.

The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS v17. The data were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard error and were statistically compared by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT) at the p < 5 % level.

## **3. Results**

#### 3.1. Yield Performance

NS

Sweet potato yield due to the addition of manure and KCl is more influenced by the manure. Therefore, it can be concluded that various doses of KCl do not have a real effect (Table 1). Furthermore, the yield consists of fresh weight marketable and unmarketable tuber, the total weight of marketable (Fig. 1), the number of a marketable and unmarketable, and the total number of tubers (Fig. 2). The best harvest index (Fig. 3) was achieved by applying goat manure. Meanwhile, the sweet potato without manure has a lower yield compared to the other.

NS

NS

Tuble T renomance of sweet pourto results due to reel fertilizer application										
Treatment (KCl)	FWMT	FWUmT	TTFW	NMT	NumT	HI				
50 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	971.11	79.10	1050.21	3.47	2.11	57.63				
100 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	880.00	77.44	957.44	2.87	2.12	54.38				
150 kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	977.78	79.00	1056.78	3.61	2.36	55.47				

NS

Table 1 Performance of sweet potato results due to KCl fertilizer application

NS Remarks: FWMT: Fresh Weight of Marketable Tuber, FWUmT: Fresh Weight of Unmarketable Tuber, TTFW: Total Tuber Fresh Weight, NMT: Number of Marketable Tuber, NUmT: Number of Unmarketable Tuber, HI: Harvest Index, NS: Not Significant.



Fig. 1 Fresh weight of tuber due to integrated manure and potassium fertilizer



Fig. 2 Number of tubers due to the integration of manure and potassium fertilizer



Fig. 3 Harvest index due to integrated manure and potassium fertilizer

The total fresh weight is a combination of marketable and unmarketable tubers. The unmarketable type weighed  $\leq 100$  g. However, goat manure showed a significant effect on the fresh weight of marketable types and their total weight. Meanwhile, the fresh weight of unmarketable tubers is not affected by the

type of manure (Fig. 1). The marketable and the total weight of sweet potato tuber given goat manure were 1429.63 g and 1514.11 g.

A marketable amount of sweet potato with goat manure has a similar result to the tuber with chicken manure, 4.48 and 3.69. However, goat, cow, and

chicken manure have the same effect on the total tuber, which are 6.55, 5.67, and 5.52, respectively. Meanwhile, the number of unmarketable tubers is not affected by the type of manure (Fig. 2).

The harvest index illustrates plants' capacity to allocate biomass (assimilation) to the formed reproductive part [15]. Also, commercial yields of sweet potatoes are in the form of tubers. Therefore the index is the ratio of tubers to total plant biomass (shoots plus tubers). Furthermore, those given goat and chicken manure have a higher harvest index compared to other treatments. Lastly, the harvest index indicates the photosynthetic distribution between the tubers and the soil's remaining biomass above the plant (Fig. 3).

#### **3.2. Quality Performance**

The quality of observed sweet potato consisted of carbohydrates and the total dissolved solids. The analysis results showed that the integration of manure and KCl affect carbohydrate content (Table 2). Table 2 showed that manure is needed to increase the carbohydrate content of the sweet potato. This is seen in the treatment without manure (control). Meanwhile, integrating KCl fertilization with manure showed increased yield depending on their composition.

Table 2 Carbohydrates content due to interaction between types of manure and potassium fertilizer											
Treatment	nent Control		Goat manure		<b>Buffalo manure</b>		Cow manu	Cow manure		Chicken manure	
KCl											
50 kg.Ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.430	а	3.772	ab	1.316	а	1.448	а	3.808	ab	
100 kg.Ha <sup>-1</sup>	2.430	а	4.822	b	3.739	ab	2.759	ab	3.437	ab	
150 kg.Ha <sup>-1</sup>	1.989	а	3.451	ab	2.004	а	4.678	b	2.875	ab	

Remarks: Means sharing the same letter in the columns/rows are not significantly different at the 5% P level according to the LSD test.

### 4. Discussion

The application of manure plays a direct role in improving the physical [16, 17], chemical [18], and biological properties of soil [19, 20]. The analysis of different manure results in Indonesia [21, 22] showed that goat manure has higher elemental potassium compared to other types. Therefore, it is very suitable for stimulating the development of fruit, flowers, and tubers [22]. In addition to the higher potassium content, goat manure also contains phosphorus in moderate amounts. In line with this research, sweet potato with goat manure has a higher weight and the total number of tubers and the harvest index. Meanwhile, applying single goat manure without integration with potassium fertilizer is less optimal for increasing carbohydrate content.

Potassium is a macro plant nutrient, such as N and P. Its fertilization produces greater yield and improves plant quality [23]. This nutrient is involved in enzyme function, photosynthesis, fruit formation [24], and resistance to drought stress [23]. Meanwhile, K's positive effects on the growth, yield, and quality of sweet potatoes have been widely reported [25, 26, 27] on cassava tuber.

Furthermore, it functions to form and stimulate the synthesis of proteins, carbohydrates, root growth, and development and increase the pressure of root turgor and nutrient absorption. The research results showed that K fertilizer increases carbohydrate content and starch of sweet potato tubers and yields per unit area [7]. Also, potassium plays a role in stimulating water absorption due to the presence of K + ions. Therefore, it easily spurs increased turgor cell pressure, which results in photosynthesis [28]. Furthermore, it spurs the process of plant assimilation and has an impact on the amount.

Dry sweet potato production depends on three factors, which are photosynthesis, respiration, and distribution of produced dry matter. Potassium is needed to transform solar energy into chemical form, increasing carbohydrate content [29]. It also has an important role in assimilating translocation into tubers by facilitating electrons in the plant transport chain [30].

Furthermore, this element plays a role in producing tubers, facilitating flowers' formation and the ripening of fruits and bulbs [31]. Both the P and K in the soil are interdependent. The P element increases exchangeable Potassium, while K increases the P's existence [32]. Therefore, their availability is very important to increase production yield. Moreover, P plays a role in producing roots that will become tubers and is used as a storage site for photosynthate. Also, K plays a role in the translocation of assimilates from leaves to all parts of the plant.

#### 5. Conclusion

Integrating KCl fertilizer is needed for sweet potato cultivation, and each dose requires a different manure addition. Meanwhile, chicken manure can be used as an alternative in areas of less goat variety. Lastly, the use of singular KCl fertilizer can not improve the yield or quality of sweet potatoes. This study provides benefits for sweet potato farmers to utilize available manure to reduce the use of very high K fertilizer to increase sweet potato production.

### Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the Indonesian Ministry of Research Technology and Higher Education for financial support.

## References

[1] RAY R. C., & RAVI V. Post harvest spoilage of sweetpotato in tropics and control measures. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 2005, 45(7–8): 623–644. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390500455516</u>

[2] NEDUNCHEZHIYAN M., BYJU G., and DASH S. N. Effects of organic production of orange fleshed sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) on root yield, quality and soil biological health. *International Research Journal of Plant Science*, 2010, 1(6): 136–143.

[3] SALAWU I. S., & MUKHTAR A. A. Reducing the dimension of growth and yield characters of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) varieties as affected varying rates of organic and inorganic fertilizer. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2008, 2(1): 41–44. https://doi.org/10.3923/ajar.2008.41.44

[4] KAGGWA R., GIBSON R., TENYWA J. S., OSIRU D. S. O., and POTTS M. J. Incorporation of pigeon pea into sweet potato cropping systems to increase productivity and sustainability in dry land areas. 14th Triennial Symposium of International Society of Tropical Root Crops, Thinivannthapuram, 2006, pp. 20-26.

[5] NEDUNCHEZHIYAN M., & SRINIVASULU REDDY D. Growth, yield and soil productivity as influenced by integrated nutrient management in rainfed sweet potato. *Journal of Root Crops*, 2004, 30(1): 41–45.

[6] VANLAUWE B., WENDT J., and DIELS J. Combined application of organic matter and fertilizer. In: TIAN G., ISHIDA F., and KEATINGE J. D. H. (eds.) *Sustaining Soil Fertility in West Africa*, SSSA Special Publication no. 58. Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, 2001: 247–279. <u>https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaspecpub58.ch12</u>

[7] JIAN-WEI L., FANG C., YOU-SHENG X., YUN-FAN W., and DONG-BI L. Sweet potato response to potassium. *Better Crops International*, 2001, 15(1): 10–12. http://www.ipni.net/publication/bci.nsf/0/E1E140F6CD1365 B585257BBA0068AC0F/\$FILE/Better%20Crops%20Intern ational%202001-1%20p10.pdf

[8] SINGH R., CHAURASIA S., GUPTA A. D., MISHRA A., and SONI P. Comparative study of transpiration rate in *Mangifera indica* and *Psidium guajawa* affect by *Lantana camara* aqueous extract. *Journal of Environmental Science, Computer Science and Engineering and Technology*, 2014, 3(3): 1228-1234.

[9] YENG S. B., AGYARKO K., DAPAAH H. K., ADOMAKO W. J., and ASARE E. Growth and yield of sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas* L.) as influenced by integrated application of chicken manure and inorganic fertilizer. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2012, 7(39): 5387–5395. <u>https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR12.1447</u>

[10] NEDUNCHEZHIYAN M., & REDDY D. Nitrogen management in sweet potato (*Ipomoea batatas*) under rainfed conditions. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 2002, 47(3): 449-454.

https://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:ija&vo lume=47&issue=3&article=025

[11] MARTÍ H. R., & MILLS H. A. Nitrogen and potassium nutrition affect yield, dry weight partitioning, and nutrientuse efficiency of sweet potato. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis*, 2002, 33(1–2): 287–301. https://doi.org/10.1081/CSS-120002394

[12] SALEH A. M., VIJAYASARATHY C., MASOUD L., KUMAR L., SHAHIN A., and KAMBAL A. Paraoxon induces apoptosis in EL4 cells via activation of mitochondrial pathways. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 2003, 190(1): 47-57. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0041-008X(03)00126-1

[13] ABDISSA T. Yield and yield sweet potato components as influenced by plant density: In Adami Tulu Jido Kombolcha District, Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. *American Journal of Experimental Agriculture*, 2011, 1(2): 40–48. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/AJEA/2011/173</u>

[14] WAHYUDI A., & DEWI R. The improvement of quality and production of fruit using ToPAS system cultivation technology on 12 hybrid watermelon varieties. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 2017, 17(1): 17–25.

[15] WNUK A., GÓRNY A. G., BOCIANOWSKI J., and KOZAK M. Visualizing harvest index in crops. *Communications in Biometry and Crop Science*, 2013, 8(2): 48–59.

http://agrobiol.sggw.waw.pl/~cbcs/abstract 8 2 2.php

[16] ADEKIYA A. O., AGBEDE T. M., ABOYEJI C. M., DUNSIN O., and SIMEON V. T. Effects of biochar and poultry manure on soil characteristics and the yield of radish. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 2019, 243(3): 457–463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.08.048

[17] KHAIRUDDIN M. N., ISA I. M., ZAKARIA A. J., and RANI A. R. A. Effect of amending organic and inorganic fertilizer on selected soil physical properties in entisols. *Agrivita*, 2018, 40(2): 242–248. <u>https://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v40i2.1087</u>

[18] YOUSEFZADEH S., MODARRES SANAVY S. A. M., GOVAHI M., and KHATAMIAN OSKOOIE O. S. Effect of Organic and Chemical Fertilizer on Soil Characteristics and Essential Oil Yield in Dragonhead. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 2015, 38(12): 1862–1876. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2015.1061548

[19] GARCÍA-ORENES F., ROLDÁN A., MORUGÁN-CORONADO A., LINARES C., CERDÀ A., and CARAVACA F. Organic fertilization in traditional mediterranean grapevine orchards mediates changes in soil microbial community structure and enhances soil fertility. *Land Degradation and Development*, 2016, 27(6): 1622– 1628. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2496</u>

[20] LAZCANO C., GÓMEZ-BRANDÓN M., REVILLA P., and DOMÍNGUEZ J. Short-term effects of organic and inorganic fertilizers on soil microbial community structure and function: A field study with sweet corn. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 2013, 49(6): 723–733. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-012-0761-7

[21] WIRYANTA B. T. W. *Planting chili in the rainy season*. Agro Media Pustaka, Jakarta, 2002.

[22] HARTATIK W., & WIDOWATI L. R. *Manure*. Indonesia, 2006: 59–82.

[23] ZÖRB C., SENBAYRAM M., & PEITER E. Potassium in agriculture - Status and perspectives. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 2014, 171(9): 656–669. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2013.08.008</u>

[24] MENGEL K., & KIRKBY E. A. *Principles of plant nutrition*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.

[25] JIAN-WEI L., FANG C., YOU-SHENG X., YUN-FAN W., and DONG-BI L. Sweet Potato Response to Potassium. *Better Crops International*, 2001, 15(1): 10–12. http://www.ipni.net/publication/bci.nsf/0/E1E140F6CD1365 B585257BBA0068AC0F/\$FILE/Better%20Crops%20Intern ational%202001-1%20p10.pdf

[26] ABD EL-AAL H. A., EL-FADL A. A., and MOUSSA S. A. Effect of mineral and organic potassium fertilization on

sweet potato crop grown in the newly reclaimed land. *Alexandria Science Exchange Journal*, 2010, 31(3): 266-278. <u>https://doi.org/10.21608/ASEJAIQJSAE.2010.2321</u>

[27] HASSAN N. M., MANSOUR S. A., and RAGAB M. E. Performance of cassava plant under different plant densities and potassium levels in newly reclaimed lands. *Journal of Agricultural Science Suez Canal University*, 2007, 7(2): 81-91.

[28] MARSCHNER H. *Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants*. Academic Press, 2011.

[29] TAIZE L., and ZEIGER E. *Plant physiology*. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, 2000.

[30] REDDY K. R., & ZHAO D. Interactive effects of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and potassium deficiency on photosynthesis, growth, and biomass partitioning of cotton. *Field Crops Research*, 2005, 94(2–3): 201–213. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2005.01.004

[31] SOENYOTO E. Effect of phonska fertilizer dose and mulch use on growth and production of purple sweet potato (*Ipomea batatas* L.) Varieties Ayamurasaki. *Cendikia*, 2014,

12(3): 100–107. [32] KAYA E. The Effects of Potassium and Phosphate Fertilizer on Phosphate Availability and Phosphate Uptake of Groundnut (Arachis Hypogaea L.) in Brunizem Soil. *Agrologia*, 2012, 1(2): 113–118. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.30598/a.v1i2.286</u>

### 参考文:

[1] RAY R. C. 和RAVI V. 热带地区甘薯的收获后变质和控制措施。食品科学与营 养评论,2005,45(7-8):623-644。 https://doi.org/10.1080/10408390500455516

[2] NEDUNCHEZHIYAN M., BYJU G. 和DASH S. N. 有机生产橙色果肉甘薯(番薯)对根系产量,品质和土壤生物健康的影响。植物科学国际研究杂志,2010,1(6):136-143。

SALAWU S. 和MUKHTAR [3] I. A. A. 降低甘薯品种(番薯)的生长和产量特征,因为其影响 了有机肥和无机肥的施用量。亚洲农业研究杂志,2008 , 2 (1) :  $41-44_{\circ}$  https://doi.org/10.3923/ajar.2008.41.44 [4] KAGGWA R., GIBSON R., TENYWA J. S., OSIRU D. S. О. 和 POTTS M. J. 将木豆掺入甘薯种植系统中,以提高干旱地区的生产力 和可持续性。国际热带根作物学会第十四届三年期研讨 会,特里凡得琅, 2006, 第20-26页。 [5] NEDUNCHEZHIYAN M. 和SRINIVASULU REDDY D. 受雨养甘薯综合营养管理的影响,其生长,产量和土壤 生产力。根际作物学报,2004,30(1):41-45。 [6] VANLAUWE B., WENDT J. 和 DIELS J. 有机物和肥料的联合施用。载于:TIAN G., ISHIDA F.和KEATINGE J. D. H.(主编)维持西非的土壤肥力,SSSA特别出版物,第

1期。58.美国土壤科学学会,威斯康星州麦迪逊,2001

年:247-279。https://doi.org/10.2136/sssaspecpub58.ch12 [7] 建伟L., 方C., 尤胜X., YUN-FAN W. 和DONG-BI L.

甘薯对钾的响应。国际更好的作物,2001,15(1):10-12。

 $http://www.ipni.net/publication/bci.nsf/0/E1E140F6CD1365\\B585257BBA0068AC0F/\$FILE/Better\%20Crops\%20Intern\\ational\%202001-1\%20p10.pdf$ 

 [8] SINGH R., CHAURASIA S., GUPTA A.

 D., MISHRA
 A., 和SONI
 P.

 马齿男人和番石榴叶上蒸腾速率受马兰丹卡马拉水提取
 物影响的比较研究。环境科学,计算机科学与工程技术

 学报,2014,3(3):1228-1234。

[9] YENG S. B., AGYARKO K., DAPAAH H.K., ADOMAKO W. J. 和 ASARE E. 鸡粪和无机肥料的综合施用影响了甘薯(番薯)的生长 和产量。非洲农业研究杂志, 2012, 7(39): 5387-

5395。 https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR12.1447

[10] NEDUNCHEZHIYAN M. 和REDDY D. 雨养条件下甘薯(番薯)的氮管理。印度农学学报,200 2,47 (3):449-454。

https://www.indianjournals.com/ijor.aspx?target=ijor:ija&vo lume=47&issue=3&article=025

[11] MARTIH. R. 和 MILLS H. A. 氮和钾的营养影响甘薯的产量,干重分配和营养利用效率。土壤科学与植物分析通讯,2002,33 (1-2):287-301。https://doi.org/10.1081/CSS-120002394

[12] SALEH A. M., VIJAYASARATHY C., MASOUD
L., KUMAR L., SHAHIN A. 和 KAMBAL
A。对氧磷通过激活线粒体途径诱导EL4细胞凋亡。毒理
学与应用药理,2003,190(1):47-57。

 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0041-008X(03)00126-1

 [13]
 ABDISSA

 T。产量和产量受植物密度影响的甘薯成分:在埃塞俄

 比亚中部裂谷的阿达米·图卢·吉多·孔博查区。美国实验

 农业学报,2011,1(2):40-48。

 http://dx.doi.org/10.9734/AJEA/2011/173

[14] WAHYUDI A. 和DEWI R. 使用ToPAS系统栽培技术对12个杂种西瓜品种提高了果实的品质和产量。农业研究杂志,2017,17(1):17-25。
 [15] WNUK A., GÓRNYA. G., BOCIANOWSKI J.

和KOZAK M. 可视化农作物的收获指数。生物识别与作物科学通讯,2 013,8(2):48-59。

http://agrobiol.sggw.waw.pl/~cbcs/abstract\_8\_2\_2.php [16] ADEKIYA A. O., AGBEDE T. M., ABOYEJI C. M., DUNSIN О. 和SIMEON T. V. 生物炭和家禽粪便对土壤特性和萝卜产量的影响。园艺 科学,2019,243 (3):457-463。 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.08.048 [17] KHAIRUDDIN M. N., ISA I. M., ZAKARIA A. J. A. 和 RANI R. A. 改良有机和无机肥料对喷剂中所选土壤物理特性的影响 · 阿格里维塔, 2018, 40 (2) : 242-248。 https://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v40i2.1087 [18] YOUSEFZADEH S., MODARRES SANAVY S. A. M., GOVAHI M., 和KHATAMIAN OSKOOIE O. S.

有机肥料和化肥对龙头地区土壤特性和精油产量的影响。植物营养学报,2015,38(12):1862-1876。

78

[19] GARCÍA-ORENES F., ROLDÁN A., MORUGÁN-**CORONADO** A., LINARES C., CERDÁ A.和CARAVACA F. 传统地中海葡萄果园中的有机肥可介导土壤微生物群落 结构的变化并提高土壤肥力。土地退化与发展,2016,2 7 (6) : 1622-1628° https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.2496 [20] LAZCANO C., GÓMEZ-BRANDÓN M., REVILLA P. 和DOMÍNGUEZ J。有机和无机肥料对十壤微生物群落结构和功能的短期 影响:一项关于甜玉米的田间研究。土壤生物学与肥力 · 2013, 49 (6) : 723–733<sub>°</sub> https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-012-0761-7 WIRYANTA T. W. [21] Β. 在雨季种植辣椒。农业媒体普斯塔卡,雅加达,2002。 和WIDOWATI [22] HARTATIK W. L. R. 肥料。印度尼西亚, 2006:59-82。 [23] ZÖRB C., SENBAYRAM M. 和 PEITER E. 农业中的钾-现状和观点。植物生理学报,2014,171(9):656-669, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2013.08.008 MENGEL Κ. 和 **KIRKBY** [24] E A. 植物营养原理。克鲁维尔学术出版社,多德雷赫特,200 1. [25] 姜建伟,方成,尤胜兴,云帆和董必比。红薯对钾的响 应。国际更好的作物,2001,15(1):10-12。

http://www.ipni.net/publication/bci.nsf/0/E1E140F6CD1365 B585257BBA0068AC0F/\$FILE/Better%20Crops%20Intern ational%202001-1%20p10.pdf [26] ABD EL-AAL H. A., EL-FADL A. A. 和MOUSSA S. Α. 矿物和有机钾肥对新开垦土地上种植的甘薯作物的影响 。亚历山大科学交流杂志,2010,31 (3):266-278。 https://doi.org/10.21608/ASEJAIQJSAE.2010.2321 [27] HASSAN N. M., MANSOUR S. A. 和 RAGAB M. E.在新开垦的土地上,木薯植物在不同植物密度和钾水 平下的表现。苏伊士运河大学学报(自然科学版),200 7, 7 (2) : 81-91. H. [28] MARSCHNER 高等植物的矿物质营养。学术出版社,2011。 [29] L. 和ZEIGER E. TAIZE 植物生理学。西瑙尔协会,马萨诸塞州桑德兰,2000。 [30] REDDY K. R. 和 赵D. 升高的二氧化碳和钾缺乏对棉花光合作用,生长和生物 量分配的相互作用。田间作物研究,2005,94(2-3) : 201-213° http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2005.01.004 [31] SOENYOTO E. 丰斯卡施肥剂量和地膜覆盖对紫色甘薯(番薯番薯)品 种村村崎生长和生产的影响。学者, 2014, 12(3):10 0-107 [32] ΚΑΥΑ E 钾和磷酸盐肥料对布鲁尼泽姆土壤中花生(花生)磷素 有效性和磷素吸收的影响。农业学报,2012,1(2):1 13-118° http://dx.doi.org/10.30598/a.v1i2.286