# *N*-(2-(2-Benzilidenehydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamides: Synthesis, Cytotoxic, Antioxidant Activity and Molecular Docking Studies

## Melanny Ika Sulistyowaty<sup>1</sup>, Galih Satrio Putra<sup>2</sup>, Wang Zhichao<sup>3</sup>, Tutuk Budiati<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Anwar Medika Hospital, Sidoarjo, Indonesia <sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

<sup>4</sup> Faculty of Pharmacy, Widya Mandala Catholic University, Surabaya, Indonesia

Abstract: This study's purpose was to continue our research by acquiring novel anticancer candidates, the derivatives of N-(2-(2-benzylidenehydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide. The benzamides were synthesized from the starting material, anthranilic acid. The products were examined for their antioxidant activity and bioactivity against human lung cancer in cell line A549. This study also reported the molecular interaction with tyrosine kinase (PDB ID: 1M17) by in silico method. The synthesis was conducted in three reaction steps, consisting of nucleophilic substitution, dehydration reaction, and nucleophilic addition. In vitro anticancer activity of the compounds was examined in the A549 cell line by using the MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) method. Free radical scavenger activity of these compounds was also evaluated by DPPH (2,2,1-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) assay. The virtual molecular docking study was performed using Molegro® version 5.5. The derivatives of N-(2-(2-benzylidenehydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide were synthesized in good yields. Among the synthesized compounds, N-(2-(2-(2-hydroxybenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3c) had the highest activity in terms of inhibiting the growth of the A549 cell line, with IC<sub>50</sub> of 10.88  $\pm$  0.82 µg/mL, which was linear with the docking result. Meanwhile, N-(2-(2-(4-hydroxy-3methoxybenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3f) possessed the highest antioxidant activity, with  $IC_{50}$ of  $37.23 \pm 3.76 \,\mu g/mL$ .

Keywords: synthesis, benzamides, cancer, antioxidant, PDB ID 1M17, A549.

# N-(2-(2-苯甲撐肼基羰基)苯基)苯甲酰胺的合成 · 細胞毒性 · 抗氧化活性和分 子對接研究使用结构偏最小二乘方程的影响巴厘岛社会响应地震信息的因素

摘要:這項研究的目的是通過獲得新的抗癌候選物 N-(2-(2-苄叉肼基羰基羰基)苯基)苯甲酰胺的衍生物來繼續我們的研究。苯甲酰胺由原料鄰氨基苯甲酸合成。檢查產物在細胞系一种 549 中的抗氧化活性和對人肺癌的生物活性。該研究還通過計算機方法報導了與酪氨酸激酶(PDB ID:1M17)的分子相互作用。合成在三個反應步驟中進行,包括親核取代,脫水反應和親核加成。使用 MTT(3-(4,5-二甲基噻唑-2-基)-2,5-二苯基-四唑溴化物)方法在一种 549 細胞系中檢查了化合物的體外抗癌活性。還通過 DPPH(2,2,1-二苯基-1-苦瓜酰肼)分析評估了這些化合物的自由基清除劑活性。虛擬分子對接研究使用 5.5 版進行。以良好的產率合成了 N-(2-(2-亞苄叉肼基羰基)苯基)苯基)苯甲酰胺的衍生物。在合成的化合物中,就抑制一种 549 細胞系的生長而言,N-(2-(2-(2-(2-經基苄叉基))肼羰基)苯基) 苯甲酰胺(3c)的活性最高,我知道了 50 為 10.88±0.82 微克/毫升,與對接結果呈線性關係。同時,N-(2-(2-(2-(4-經基-3-甲氧基亞苄基)))

Received (date):

About the author: Melanny Ika Sulistyowaty, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia; Galih Satrio Putra, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, University of Anwar Medika Hospital, Sidoarjo, Indonesia; Wang Zhichao, Department of Pharmacognosy, Graduate School of Biomedical and Health Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan; Tutuk Budiati, Faculty of Pharmacy, Widya Mandala Catholic University, Surabaya, Indonesia 高的抗氧化活性,我知道了 50 為 37.23±3.76 微克/毫升巴厘岛是一个容易发生板块碰撞活动 和弗洛雷斯登高断裂俯冲过程中产生的反吹地震的地区。巴厘岛东北部弗洛雷斯上升断层的 地质结构引发了一场大地震,随后海啸席卷了巴厘岛南部和北部。鉴于巴厘岛发生地震的可 能性巨大,有必要采取战略措施来衡量面对地震的社区准备水平。并为来巴厘岛旅游的游客 提供信息。社区准备也是确保游客安全和舒适的一部分。这项研究将调查影响巴厘岛人民准 备应对气象,气候和地球物理局发布的地震信息的因素。数据分析使用结构方程模型-偏最小 二乘(扫描电镜)方法。结果表明,风险信念,社区参与,批判意识,信念,地震知识,地 震经验,参与灾害教育和培训以及关于减灾的知识对巴厘岛的巴厘岛人的备灾产生了积极的 影响。面对地震灾害.

关键词: 合成,苯甲酰胺,癌症,抗氧化劑,PDB ID 1M17,一种 549 地震,准备,结构 方程建模,偏最小二乘。

## **1. Introduction**

Our previous study revealed that the derivatives of benzylidenehydrazide with a polar substituent, such as those with a hydroxyl and nitro group at *p*- position, were capable of being further developed as an anticancer drug [1]. In this research, several compounds with a certain functional group at another position were synthesized to determine their activity with respect to inhibiting the growth of the human lung cancer cell line, and their antioxidant activity and drugreceptor binding energy was evaluated through an *in silico* experiment.

The starting material used in this study was anthranilic acid, which is regarded as a good pharmacophore agent. This compound is regularly used in drug discovery design [2], [3]. The *N*-(2-(2benzylidenehydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamides were synthesized by reacting anthranilic acid with benzoyl chloride with the presence of pyridine as basic catalyst in room temperature. After two subsequent reactions, the products were obtained in 70-90 % yields.

In the last decade, quinazolines have appeared as a useful scaffold for the inhibition of various receptor tyrosine kinases. In the signal transduction path of cancer, the tyrosine kinases have a vital role. The most commonly investigated of these is the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR). Jiao *et al.* reported EGFR as a cell surface receptor that performs an essential task in regulating survival and apoptosis of epithelial cells and tumors of epithelial cell origin. Overexpression of EGFR and its ligands exists in some epithelial tumor cells, including lung cancer, especially non-small-cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) [4], [5]. Some studies presented a series compounds containing quinazoline and ring-opened quinazolines as EGFR inhibitors [6], [7].

In this research, the synthesized compounds (3a-3f) were evaluated to ascertain their free radical scavenging capacity, their activity against human lung cancer cell line by in vitro study, and also its molecular docking characteristics by observing the energy of the synthesized compounds when bonding with tyrosine kinase (TK) receptor. To the best of our knowledge, N-(2-(2-(3-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazine-carbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3b) and N-(2-(2methoxybenzylidene)hydrazine-carbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3e) have not yet been reported. Therefore, this study is reporting synthesis of N-(2-(2-(3nitrobenzylidene)hydrazine-carbonyl)phenyl)-benzamide (3b) and N-(2-(2-(2-methoxybenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)ben-zamide for the first time, and their activity as anticancer is evaluated by conducting an in silico study and against A549 cancer in an in vitro experiment.

## 2. Materials and Method

### 2.1. Synthesis of the Title Compounds

#### 2.1.1. Synthesis of Compounds 1 and 2

Benzoyl chloride (15 mmol) was added drop by drop at 0°C into anthranilic acid (10 mmol) solution in pyridine. After stirring for 60 minutes at 30°C, saturated bicarbonate acid was added. The purification was carried out by recrystallization using ethanol [8-10]. For the next reaction, compound 1, namely 2phenyl-4H-benzo-[1,3]-oxazin-4-one (4 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol, and hydrazine hydrate (16 mmol) was added and refluxed for 3 hours. Compound 2 (N-(2-(hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)-benzamide) was purified by ethanolic recrystallization [1], [11]. 2.1.2. Synthesis of N-(2-(2-benzylidenehydrazinecarbonyl) phenyl)-benzamides (3a-3f)

Each of the appropriate benzaldehyde (o-NO2 benzaldehyde, *m*-NO2 benzaldehyde, o-OH benzaldehyde, *m*-OH benzaldehyde, o-OCH3 benzaldehyde, and vanillin) (2 mmol) was mixed with the ethanolic solution of Compound 2 (0.5 eq). A few drops of concentrated HCl were added to the mixture, and the reaction proceeded for 3 hours at room Collected were temperature. solid products recrystallized from ethanol.

All the structures of synthesized compounds were characterized using the spectroscopy method. The NMR (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance) spectra data were measured on a Bruker Ultrashield 600 spectrometer with *d*-DMSO (deuterated-Dimethyl sulfoxide) as the solvent and reported in chemical shift (ppm) and coupling constant (*J*) in hertz for <sup>1</sup>H-NMR. The MS (Mass Spectrometry) data of products were determined using the QSTAR XL NanoSpray<sup>TM</sup> system by the electrospray ionization (ESI) method. Melting points were tested on electrothermal melting point equipment and corrected. The IR data were recorded on an FT-IR Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One spectrometer. The UV-vis spectra of the compounds were determined with the UV-Vis HP 8452A Spectrophotometer.

# **2.2.** Biological Activity Evaluations of the Tested Compounds

#### 2.2.1. DPPH Assay

Antioxidant activity of the tested compounds was observed by employing the DPPH assay. The absorbance of 99  $\mu$ L of compounds dissolved in 99  $\mu$ L of MeOH was determined at 515 nm as blank. Next, 100  $\mu$ L of a 200  $\mu$ M DPPH solution was mixed with each well. The mixture was incubated at 25°C for half an hour in a dark room. Then, the absorbance of the mixture was measured with a multiplate reader. As a positive control, we used Trolox. The equation below was applied to estimate the percentage inhibition of each compound. The IC<sub>50</sub> values were calculated based on three independent experiments [12].

% inhibition = 
$$\left[\frac{1 - (A \ sample - A \ blank)}{(A \ control - A \ blank)}\right] x 100 \dots (1)$$

#### 2.2.2. Cytotoxicity Evaluation

The human lung cancer cell line (A549) was cultivated in an enhanced medium. The cell culture medium used in this experiment was a combination of DMEM (Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium), 10% heat inactive FBS (Fetal Bovine Serum), Amphotericin B (5.6 µg/mL), and Kanamycin (100µg/mL), while 3 day-old cells were employed as test material. A total of 1 µL of samples (1% final concentration in the DMSO solution) and 99 µL of A549 cells (5×10<sup>3</sup>cells) were

incubated at 37°C for 72 hours. After removing the medium, 100  $\mu$ L of MTT was added and then incubated for another 1.5 hours in a CO<sub>2</sub> incubator. Next, 100  $\mu$ L DMSO was added to each well after removing the MTT solution. The absorbance of the mixture was scanned at a wavelength of 540 nm with a 2300 EnSpire Multimode plate reader by Perkin Elmer, Inc. Doxorubicin was utilized as a positive control. The percentage (%) inhibition of cell growth was estimated using equation (1). The evaluation was performed in triplicate and reported as mean ± SE [13], [14].

#### 2.3. Virtual Molecular Docking Study

In this work, the virtual molecular docking study was performed using ChemBioDraw® Ultra 12.0 for the 2D and 3D structures of the compound. To screen their molecular interaction with the selected receptor, we utilized Molegro® Virtual Docker 5.5. The epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase (ID 1M17) and ligand Erlonitib were obtained from PDB (Protein Data Bank) [23]. After validating the ligandreceptor binding site with an acceptable parameter, the title compounds were docked to the receptor's active site following the determination of some values, such as the rerank score (RS) and the environment of the interaction (hydrogen bonding and steric interaction). The acceptance parameter for receptor validation is a root mean square deviation (RMSD) value less than 2.0 Å. RS is one of the main parameters of the in silico study. It is the interaction energy between the ligand and the receptor. A smaller RS value implies a more stable ligand-receptor binding, which predicts a higher biological activity [15], [16].

## **3. Results and Discussion**

#### 3.1. Synthesis

Synthesis of N-(2-(2-benzylidenehydrazinecarbonyl) phenyl)-benzamides was initiated by reaction with anthranilic acid and benzoyl chloride. After obtaining Compound 1, hydrazine hydrate was added, thus yielding benzamide 2 (99%). This was followed by stirring Compound 2 and the benzaldehydes, resulting in a 73–99% yield of 3a–3f. The synthetic scheme of N-(2-(2-benzylidenehydrazine-carbonyl) phenyl)benzamides is shown below (Figure 1).





The structures of the synthesized compounds were in agreement with the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR, <sup>13</sup>C-NMR, IR, and MS spectra data. All the spectra of the products are reported below:

*N*-(2-(2-(2-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3a)

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$ ) 12.45 (s, 1H), 11.86 (s, 1H), 8.90 (s, 1H), 8.55 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.16 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.94 (dd, J = 20.0, 7.5 Hz, 3H), 7.83 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.72 – 7.57 (m, 5H), 7.29 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$ ) 165.2, 164.6, 148.3, 144.2, 139.3, 134.4, 133.7, 132.8, 132.1, 130.9, 128.7, 128.5, 128.9, 128.9, 128.0, 127.0, 127.0, 124.7, 123.1, 121.2, 120.3. HRESIMS (m/z) = 411.1062 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Na: 411.1064).

*N*-(2-(2-(3-nitrobenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonylphenyl)benzamide (3b)

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$ ) 12.37 (s, 1H), 11.80 (s, 1H), 8.57 (s, 2H), 8.53 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.29 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.92 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (dd, J = 10.6, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$ ) 165.2, 164.6, 148.2, 146.5, 146.5, 139.2, 135.8, 134.35, 133.56, 132.75, 132.11, 130.53, 128.92, 128.92, 128.71, 127.06, 127.06, 124.50, 123.23, 121.28, 121.05. HRESIMS (m/z) = 411.1062 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>N<sub>4</sub>Na: 411.1064).

*N*-(2-(2-(2hydroxybenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3c) [17]

Yield: 73%, m.p = 230-231°C; IR (KBr): 3451, 1655, 1604, 1285, 749. UV/Vis  $\lambda$ max (EtOH): 332, 232, 206 nm.<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>,  $\delta$ ) 12.31 (s, 1H), 11.89 (s, 1H), 11.12 (s, 1H), 8.69 (s, 1H), 8.56 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.98 – 7.94 (m, 2H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (ddd, *J* = 6.8, 4.3, 2.5 Hz, 3H), 7.30 (dd, *J* = 14.6, 7.2 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (dd, *J* = 15.4, 7.9 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>,  $\delta$ ) 164.7, 164.6, 157.4, 148.9, 139.3, 134.4, 132.7, 132.1, 131.7, 129.2, 128.9, 128.9, 128.6, 127.0, 127.0, 123.2, 121.2, 120.2, 119.4, 118.7, 116.4. HRESIMS (*m*/*z*) = 382.1159 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>17</sub>O<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Na: 382.1162).

*N*-(2-(2-(3-hydroxybenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3d) [18], [19]

Yield: 99%, m.p = 238-239°C; IR (KBr): 3466, 1637, 1535, 1298, 760. UV/Vis  $\lambda$ max (EtOH): 312, 216 nm. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>,  $\delta$ ) 12.06 (s, 1H), 11.95 (s, 1H), 9.65 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.67 – 7.60 (m, 4H), 7.28 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>,  $\delta$ ) 164.9, 164.5, 157.7, 149.2, 139.3, 135.3, 134.4, 132.6, 132.1, 129.9, 128.9, 128.6, 127.0, 123.1, 121.0, 120.4, 119.0, 117.7, 112.8. HRESIMS  $(m/z) = 382.1164 [M+Na]^+$  (calculated for  $C_{21}H_{17}O_3N_3Na$ : 382.1162).

*N*-(2-(2-(2-methoxybenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3e)

Yield: 93%, m.p = 233-234°C; IR (KBr): 3466, 3236, 1650, 1525, 1251, 758. UV/Vis  $\lambda$ max (EtOH): 332, 278, 206 nm.<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>,  $\delta$ ) 12.11 (s, 1H), 12.04 (s, 1H), 8.84 (s, 1H), 8.60 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.92 (dd, *J* = 19.8, 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.62 (dd, *J* = 19.1, 7.8 Hz, 4H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.27 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (151 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>,  $\delta$ ) 164.9, 164.5, 157.9, 144.7, 139.4, 134.4, 132.6, 132.1, 131.9, 131.9, 128.9, 128.9, 128.6, 128.6, 127.0, 127.0, 125.6, 123.0, 122.0, 120.9, 120.8, 111.9, 55.7. HRESIMS (*m*/*z*) = 396.1312 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Na: 396.1319).

*N*-(2-(2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene)hydrazinecarbonyl)phenyl)benzamide (3f) [19]

Yield: 75%, m.p = 298-299°C; IR (KBr): 3466, 1634, 1517, 1282, 757. UV/Vis  $\lambda$ max (EtOH): 334, 276, 206 nm.<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (600 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$ ) 11.98 (s, 1H), 11.95 (s, 1H), 9.61 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 7.98 – 7.94 (m, 2H), 7.90 (d, J = 7.8Hz, 1H), 7.65 – 7.57 (m, 4H), 7.34 (s, 1H), 7.28 (d, J =7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 8.0Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (151 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$ ) 164.7, 164.5, 149.8, 149.3, 148.1, 139.3, 134.4, 132.4, 132.1, 128.9, 128.9, 128.5, 127.0, 127.05, 125.4, 123.1, 122.5, 121.0, 120.6, 115.5, 109.2, 55.6. HRESIMS (m/z) = 412.1271 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (calculated for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>4</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Na: 412.1268)

#### **3.2. Biological Activity**

The title compounds were evaluated for their antioxidant activity, and the results showed that the compound N-(2-(2-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene) hydrazinecarbo-nyl) phenyl)-benzamide was the most active among the tested compounds (Figure 2). The synthesized compounds 3c, 3d, and 3f showed almost 100% inhibitory effect at sample concentration 100  $\mu$ g/mL against A549 cancer cell line (Figure 3).



Fig. 2 DPPH Radical Scavenging Assay of *N*-(2-(2benzylidenehydrazine-carbonyl)phenyl)benzamides and Trolox as positive control



#### Fig. 3 A549 Growth Inhibitory Assay of *N*-(2-(2benzylidenehydrazine-carbonyl)phenyl)benzamides and doxorubicine (dox) as positive control

The bioactivities of N-(2-(2-Benzilidenehydrazine carbonyl) phenyl) benzamides (3a-3f) are displayed in Table 1.

Table 1 The IC <sub>50</sub> values of synthesized compounds						
Comp.	DPPH IC <sub>50</sub> (µg/mL)	A549 Cytotoxicity	Cytotoxicity Classification [20-22]			
		IC <sub>50</sub> (μg/mL)				
3a	>100	>100	Inactive			
3b	>100	$68.82 \pm 2.36$	Low axtivity			
3c	>100	10.88±0.82	Good activity			
3d	>100	33.35±5.52	Moderate activity			
3e	>100	>100	Inactive			
3f	37.23±3.76	33.21±3.52	Moderate activity			
Trolox	7.30±0.39	-	-			
Doxoru-bicine	Not tested	$0.48\pm0.02$	Excellent activity			

Compounds 3a-3f were evaluated for their DPPH radical scavenging activities. As shown in Table I, compound 3f displayed very potent radical scavenging activities (IC<sub>50</sub>: 37.23±3.76 µg/ml) when compared with the standard agent, Trolox (IC<sub>50</sub>: 7.30 ± 0.39 µg/ml). The remaining products did not show significant free radical scavenging properties (IC<sub>50</sub> >100 µg/ml). These results imply that the radical scavenging activity of 3f may be related to the presence of hydroxyl moieties at the para position.

Based on the MTT assay results, the title compound with NO- and CH<sub>3</sub>O- group in the *ortho* and *meta*positions had no activity against the A549 cell line. Compound 3c has the smallest IC<sub>50</sub> value of about 10.88 µg/mL (good cytotoxicity activity). This means that 3c has the highest activity in inhibiting the growth of the human lung cancer line among all the products. The IC<sub>50</sub> value of the positive control doxorubicin was 0.48  $\pm$  0.02 µg.ml (Excellent/potent cytotoxicity activity). Table I shows the IC<sub>50</sub> value of the synthesized compounds. The results are reported as the mean value from three replication experiments ( $\pm$  SE).

#### **3.3. Molecular Docking Study**

The purpose of the molecular docking study was to find out the affinity of a ligand to its docking site by examining the energy of drug–receptor binding. Receptor validation was carried out by redocking erlotinib with TK receptors as a native ligand. The RMDS value of the erlotinib with TK receptors was 1.17 Å. Figure 4 shows the superposition of the docked and co-crystallized ligands.

The in silico study of the derivatives of N-(2-{2-benzylidenehydrazinecarbonyl}phenyl)benzamide are

explained in Table 2 and Figure 5. The RS value of the ligand erlotinib was -85.90 kcal/mol.



Fig. 4 Superposition of co-crystalized ligand and docked conformation, yellow represent the co-crystalized ligand and purple the re-docked conformation of the ligand with Molegro Virtual Docker (MVD) Ver.5.5

A compound with the lowest predicted RS value is considered the most active. The compound 3c had an RS value lower than erlotinib and the lowest one among all products, -91.09 kcal/mol. The *in vitro* data compound 3c had the highest activity against A549. This prediction corresponds to the in silico study of the compound. Erlotinib inhibited the TK receptors by making the hydrogen bonds with amino acid residues Met-769 and Thr-766. It also had steric interactions with amino acid residues Gln-767 and Gly-695.

*N*-(2-{2-[2-hydroxybenzylidene]

hydrazinecarbonyl}phenyl)benzamide inhibited the TK receptors by forming hydrogen bonds with amino acid residues Met-769 and Thr-766, as erlotinib did, but also formed bonds with Ala-719 and Lys-721. The steric interactions of 3b occurred between amino acid residues Lev-768 and Met-769 with the TK receptors.

Table 2 Molecular Docking Study of Erlotinib and the Title Compounds									
Compounds	RS	Hydrogen bond	Residues involved	Steric interaction	<b>Residues involved</b>				
	-85.90	2	Thr 766; Met 769	2	Gly 695, Gln 767				
Erlotinib	-86.55	2	Thr 766; Met 760	4	Val 702, Ala 719, Leu 768, Met 769				
	-86.55	3	Lys 721, Met 769, Thr 830	2	Leu 768, Met 769				
3b NH OH OH	-91.09	2	Thr 830, Met 769	2	Leu 768, Met 769				
	-88.52	4	Ala 719, Thr 766, Lys 721, Met 769	2	Leu 768, Met 769				
3d	-72.23	2	Thr 766, Met 769	3	Lys 721, Leu 768, Met 769				
3e	-84.88	1	Thr 766	6	Leu 694, Phe 699, Val 702, Ala 719, Gln 767 Thr 830				

The results	of the	correlation	between	the	Re-Rank	scores and the expe

ank scores and the experimental values are given in Table 2.



Fig. 5 3D Interactions (left) dan 2D Interactions (right) of Ligand and Benzamides 3a-3f with receptor 1M17 (Blue dotted line indicates hydrogen bond, while red dotted lines indicates steric interaction)

## 4. Conclusion

In this report, we have synthesized six compound derivatives of N-(2-{2-benzylidenehydrazinecarbonyl}phenyl)benzamide (3a-3f) with good yields (73–99%). The synthesis of the

two compounds (3b and 3e) is considered to be the first reported. The compound that has a hydroxyl group in *ortho*- position showed the highest growth inhibition activity on the human lung cancer cell line (A549) and also had the lowest RS score when docked onto TK receptor (PDB code: IM17), which was better than its original ligand, erlotinib. *N*-(2-{2-[4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzylidene]hydrazinecarbonyl}phenyl)benzamide had the highest activity as an antioxidant based on its DPPH assay and its moderate activity in inhibiting A549 cells. Therefore, these compounds can be developed as anticancer candidates.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful Prof. Katsuyoshi Matsunami from Hiroshima University for the NMRspectroscopic measurements and the facility for conducting bioassay experiments; and also grateful to Prof. Siswandono from Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia for Molegro® facility for this research.

### References

[1] SULISTYOWATY M. I., PUTRA G. S., BUDIATI T., *et al.* MATSUNAMI, K. Synthesis, In Vitro Anticancer Activity and in Silico Study of Some Benzylidenehydrazide Derivatives. *Key Engineering Materials*, 2020, 840:277-83. https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.840.277.

[2] COCCO M.T., CONGIU C., LILLIU V., *et al.* Synthesis of new *N*-(2-(trifluoromethyl)pyridin-4yl)anthranilic acid derivatives and their evaluation as anticancer agents., *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 2004, 14(23): 5787-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2004.09.045.

[3] RAGHAVAN S., TRIA G.S., SHEN H.C., *et al.* Tetrahydro anthranilic acid as a surrogate for anthranilic acid: application to the discovery of potent niacin receptor agonists. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters*, 2008, 18(11): 3163-67.

https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.04.071. [4] JIAO Qinlian, BI Lei, REN Yidan, *et al.* Advances in studies of tyrosine kinase inhibitors and their acquired resistance. *Molecular Cancer*, 2018, 17: 36-48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-018-0801-5.

[5] The National Comprehensive Cancer Network Clinical Practice Guideline in Oncology (NCCN Guideline ®), Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. 2017, 1-211.

[6] LV, Peng-Cheng , ZHOU, Chang-Fang , CHEN, Jin, *et al.* Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of Thiazolidinone derivatives as potential EGFR and HER-2 inhibitors. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry*, 2010, 18: 314-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2009.10.051.

[7] NOOLVI M.N., PATEL H. M., BHARDWAJ V., et al. Synthesis and in vitro antitumor activity of substituted quinazoline andquinoxaline derivatives: Search for anticancer agent. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 2011, 46: 2327-46.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.03.015.

[8] TIWARI A. K., SINGH V. K., BAJPAI A., *et al.* Synthesis and biological properties of 4-(3H)-quinazolone derivatives. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 2007, 42: 1234-38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2007.01.002.

[9] NANDA A.K., GANGULI S., and CHAKRABORTY R. Antibacterial activity of some 3-(Arylidenamino)-2phenylquinazoline-4(3H)-ones: Synthesis and Preliminary QSAR studies. *Molecules*, 2007, 12(10): 2413-26. https://doi.org/10.3390/12102413.

[10] PUTRA G. S., WIDIYANA A. P., MUCHLASHI L. A., *et al.* The Influence of ratio pyridine and triethylamine catalysts on synthesis 2-phenyl-benzo[D] [1,3]oxazine-4-on derivatives. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 2017, 9(8): 73-80.

[11] WIDIYANA A. P., PUTRA G. S., SULISTYOWATY M. I., *et al.* Synthesis, method optimization of 2, 3-disubstitutedquinazolin-4(3H)-one derivatives. *Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research*, 2017, 9(8): 24-28.

[12] MATSUNAMI K., TAKAMORI I., SHINZATO T., *et al.* Radical-scavenging activities of new megastimane glucosides from Macaranga tanarius (L.) MULL-ARG, *Chemical Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 2006, 54: 1403–07. https://doi.org/10.1248/cpb.54.1403.

[13] WIDYOWATI R., SUGIMOTO S., YAMANO Y., *et al.* New Isolinariins C, D and E, Flavonoid Glycosides from Linaria Japonica, *Chemical Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 2016, 64: 517–21. https://doi.org/ 10.1248/cpb.c16-00073.

[14] SAMY M. N., KHALIL, H. E. SUGIMOTO, S. *et al.* Biological studies on chemical constituents of Ruellia patula and Ruellia tuberose. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 2015, 4(1): 64-7.

[15] WIDIANDANI T.,, SISWANDONO S., MEIYANTO E., *et al.* New N-allylthiourea derivatives: synthesis, molecular docking and in vitro cytotoxicity studies. *Tropical Journal Pharmaceutical Research*, 2018, 17(8): 1607-13. https://doi.org/ 10.4314/tjpr.v17i8.20.

[16] YOUNG D.C. Computational drug design. A guide for computational and medicinal chemists. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 2009.

[17] KHAN R., TAZMAN A., GURBUZ D., *et al.* Synthesis and Structural Characterization of N-(2-{[(2E)-2-(2-Hydroxybenzylidene)hydrazinyl]carbonyl}-phenyl)-

benzamide(HL) and its Co(II), Fe(III), Cu(II) AND Zn(II) complexes X-ray Chrystal Structure of HL. *Bulletin Chemical Society of Ethiopia*, 2018, 32(1): 111-24. https://doi.org/10.4314/bcse.v32i1.10.

[18] TONCIO C.B., ÁLVAREZ J.A., CAMPOS F., *et al.* Dictyostelium discoideum as a surrogate host-microbe model for antivirulence screening in Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 2016, 47: 403–9.

https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.02.005.

[19] BURDA-GRABOWSKA M., MACEGONIUK K., FLICK R., *et al.* Bisphosphonic acids and related compounds as inhibitors of nucleotide and polyphosphate processing enzymes: A PPK1 and PPK2 Case Study, *Chemical Biology and Drug Design*, 2019, 93(6): 1197-1206. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/cbdd.13439.

[20] BATISTA R., SILVA, A. de J., Jr., OLIVEIRA A. B. Plant derived antimalarial agents: New Leads and efficient phytomedicines. Part II. Non alkaloidal natural products, *Molecules*, 2009, 14: 3037-72. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/molecules14083037.

[21] COS P., VLIETINCK A. J., BERGHE D. V., *et al.* Anti-infective potential of natural products: How to develop a stronger in vitro proof-of-concept. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*, 2006, 106: 290–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2006.04.003.

[22] WEERAPREEYAKUL N., NONPUNYA A., BARUSRUX S., *et al.* Evaluation of the anticancer potential of six herbs against a hepatoma cell line. *Chinese* 

*Medicine*, 2012, 7(1):15. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1749-8546-7-15.

[23] PROTEIN DATA BANK, n.d. https://www.wwpdb.org/

#### 参考文:

[1] SULISTYOWATY M.I., PUTRA G.S., BUDIATI T. 等。MATSUNAMI, K. 某些苯二甲酰肼衍生物的合成, 體外抗癌活性和計算機模擬研究。 關鍵工程材料, 2020 840 277-83 : , https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/KEM.840.277。 [2] COCCO M.T., CONGIU C., LILLIU V. 等。新的 N-(2-(三氟甲基) 吡啶-4-基) 鄰氨基苯甲酸衍生物的合 成及其作為抗癌藥的評價。生物有機醫學化學快報, ( 23 ) : 2004 , 14 5787-91 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2004.09.045。

[3] RAGHAVAN S., TRIA G.S., SHEN H.C. 等。四氫鄰 氨基苯甲酸作為鄰氨基苯甲酸的替代物: 在發現強效菸 酸受體激動劑中的應用。生物有機化學與藥物化學快 報 , 2008 , 18 ( 11 ) : 3163-67 。 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2008.04.071。 [4] 焦欽廉, 畢磊, 任一丹, 等。酪氨酸激酶抑製劑及其 獲得性耐藥的研究進展。分子癌症, 2018, 17: 36-48。 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-018-0801-5

[5]國家腫瘤綜合癌症網絡臨床實踐指南(全国计算机网络指南®),非小細胞肺癌。2017,1-211。

[6] 呂鵬程,周長芳,陳金,等。噻唑烷酮衍生物作為潛 在的表皮生长因子和她-2 抑製劑的設計,合成和生物學 評估。生物有機與藥物化學,2010,18:314-9。 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2009.10.051。

[7] NOOLVI M.N., PATEL H.M., BHARDWAJ V. 等。取 代的喹唑啉和喹喔啉衍生物的合成及其體外抗腫瘤活 性:尋找抗癌藥。歐洲藥物化學雜誌, 2011, 46: 2327-46。https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2011.03.015。

[8] TIWARI A. K., SINGH V. K., BAJPAI A. 等。4-(3H)-喹唑酮衍生物的合成和生物學性質。歐洲藥物化學 雜 誌, 2007, 42: 1234-38。
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2007.01.002。

[9] NANDA A.K., GANGULI S. 和 CHAKRABORTY R. 一些 3- (Arylidenamino) -2-苯基喹唑啉-4 (3H) -那些 的抗菌活性: 合成和 QSAR 初步研究。分子, 2007, 12 (10): 2413-26。https://doi.org/10.3390/12102413。

[10] PUTRAG.S., WIDIYANAA.P., MUCHLASHIL.A. 等。吡啶和三乙胺催化劑的配比對合成 2-苯基-苯並[D] [1,3] 惡嗪-4-衍生物的影響。化學與藥物研究雜誌, 2017,9(8):73-80。

[11] WIDIYANA A.P., PUTRA G.S., SULISTYOWATY M.I. 等。 2, 3-二取代喹唑啉-4(3H)-一衍生物的合成,方法優化。化學與藥物研究雜誌, 2017, 9(8):

24-28.

[12] MATSUNAMI K., TAKAMORI I., SHINZATO T.
等。來自玛卡兰加·塔纳里乌斯(大号.) 毛刺的新的超興奮劑葡糖苷的自由基清除活性, 化學藥物公報, 2006, 54: 1403-07。
https://doi.org/10.1248/cpb.54.1403。

[13] WIDYOWATI R., SUGIMOTO S., YAMANO Y. 等。新異亞麻素 C, D 和 E, 來自忍冬的類黃酮苷, 化 學藥物通報, 2016, 64: 517-21。https://doi.org/ 10.1248 / cpb.c16-00073。

[14] SAMY M. N., KHALIL, H. E. SUGIMOTO, S. 等。拍蛾和晚香玉化學成分的生物學研究。藥學與植物 化學雜誌, 2015, 4 (1): 64-7。

[15] WIDIANDANI T., SISWANDONO S., MEIYANTO E. 等。新的 N-烯丙基硫脲衍生物: 合成,分子對接和體 外細胞毒性研究。熱帶雜誌藥物研究, 2018, 17 (8): 1607-13。https://doi.org/10.4314/tjpr.v17i8.20。

[16] YOUNG D.C. 計算藥物設計。計算和藥物化學家指 南。紐約:約翰·威利父子,2009年。

[17] KHAN R., TAZMAN A., GURBUZ D. 等。 N-(2-{[(2E)-2-(2-羥基苄基)肼基]羰基}-苯基)-苯甲酰胺 (HL)及其钴(II),铁(III),铜(II)的合成和結構 表徵)和锌(II)配合物 HL 的 X 射線晶體結構。埃塞 俄比亞通報化學會,2018,32(1):111-24。 https://doi.org/10.4314/bcse.v32i1.10。

[18] TONCIO C.B., ÁLVAREZJ.A., CAMPOS F. 等。盤 基網柄菌作為銅綠假單胞菌 1 号中抗毒性篩選的替代宿 主-微生物模型。國際抗菌藥物雜誌, 2016, 47: 403– 9。https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2016.02.005。

[19] BURDA-GRABOWSKA M., MACEGONIUK K., FLICK R. 等。作為核苷酸和多磷酸鹽加工酶抑製劑的雙 膦酸及其相關化合物: PPK1 和 PPK2 案例研究, 化學生 物 學 與 藥 物 設 計, 2019, 93 (6): 1197-1206。 https://doi.org/10.1111/cbdd.13439。

[20] BATISTA R., SILVA, A。de J., Jr., OLIVEIRA A. B. 植物來源的抗瘧藥:新的潛在客戶和有效的植物藥。 第二部分非生物鹼天然產物,分子,2009,14:3037-

72。 https://doi.org/ 10.3390 / molecules14083037。

[21] COS P., VLIETINCK A. J., BERGHE D. V. 等。天 然產物的抗感染潛力:如何開發出更強的體外概念驗 證。 民 族 藥 理 學 雜 誌, 2006, 106: 290-302。 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2006.04.003。

[22] WEERAPREEYAKUL N., NONPUNYA A., BARUSRUX S.等。評估六種草藥對肝癌細胞系的抗癌 潛力。中醫藥, 2012, 7 (1): 15。 https://doi.org/ 10.1186/1749-8546-7-15。

[23] 蛋白质数据库, 未指定。https://www.wwpdb.org/